

Message

From: Nonresponsive based on revised scope
Sent: 6/25/2021 1:24:55 AM
To: Wagner, Christine [Wagner.Christine@epa.gov]
CC:
Subject: RE: Shiloh Drum Pit Engineering- Engineering Support and TD Amend.
Attachments: 103X9034 LOE vs Actual through 6-18-21.pdf

Nonresponsive based on revised scope

Chris,

Once a date is set, let me know when you will need someone onsite during the drum pit engineering phase. I will plan for 9 July at the moment and let me know if only 1 day? Nonresponsive based on revised scope bills as an ET and has experience in this phase of work.

Currently through last Friday (6-18-21), there is 280 ET hours remaining in the Shiloh Assessment TD and 68.5 ET hours remaining the Shiloh Removal 2 TD. Attached (1) shows the remaining hours for each labor category in each the Shiloh Assessment and Shiloh Removal Phase 2 TD. Pending on the remaining LOE for field support on Shiloh, a TD Amendment will likely be needed.

In reference to dollars through 18 June, there is currently Ex. 4 CBI remaining in the Shiloh Removal Phase 2 and \$ Ex. 4 CBI remaining in the Shiloh Assessment.

Please feel free to give me a ring anytime on my cell Nonresponsive Based on Revised Scope to discuss TD amendment(s).

Thank you,

Nonresponsive based on revised scope

Nonresponsive Based on Revised Scope

This message, including any attachments, may include privileged, confidential and/or inside information. Any distribution or use of this communication by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system.

From: Nonresponsive based on revised scope
Sent: Thursday, June 24, 2021 10:35 AM
To: Wagner, Christine <Wagner.Christine@epa.gov>
Cc: Nonresponsive based on revised scope
Subject: FW: Shiloh Drum Pit Engineering

Chris,

mentioned that you had questions on the attached limited evaluation report for Shiloh. We heard through mentioned you had questions regarding the soil compaction methods such as if we planned on using a plate compactor, vibratory

roller, sheep foot roller etc., also if 21a stone is efficient enough to use as the fill stone. Below is clarification from

Nonresponsive based on revised scope Feel free to call Nonresponsive based on revised scope with any additional questions on the attached report.

- The use of either a plate compactor or a vibratory roller would be fine. The only thing to keep in mind is that the gravel would need to be placed in thinner lifts if using the plate compactor. The sheepfoot roller would only really be needed if they decided not to remove the unsuitable material on the surface, but is not really suitable for compaction of aggregate.
- 21A Stone should be sufficient for use for the cover base.

Nonresponsive based on revised scope also mentioned that the excavation for the drum pit area is scheduled for 7/7 and that you will need an engineer onsite on 7/9 only but date may be delayed due to weather? I have an internal scheduling call this afternoon and I will give you a ring to discuss TD Amendment.

Thank you,

Nonresponsive based on revised scope

Nonresponsive Based on Revised Scope

This message, including any attachments, may include privileged, confidential and/or inside information. Any distribution or use of this communication by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system.